



KOMPARATION: THE GRADING OF ADJECTIVES AND ADVERBS IN COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR

Bazarov Mekan

Student, Turkmen State Pedagogical Institute named after Seyitnazar Seydi
Turkmenabat, Turkmenistan

Bekmuradova Mehri

Student, Turkmen State Pedagogical Institute named after Seyitnazar Seydi
Turkmenabat, Turkmenistan

Gaygysyzova Aygul

Student, Turkmen State Pedagogical Institute named after Seyitnazar Seydi
Turkmenabat, Turkmenistan

Abstract

This article provides a detailed linguistic analysis of Komparation, also known as Gradation or the Degrees of Comparison, focusing on its realization in adjectives and adverbs across various typologically distinct languages, with a specific emphasis on German and English as representative Indo-European languages. Komparation is identified as the morphological or syntactic process used to systematically indicate the intensity, extent, or quality of a denoted property or action relative to one or more other entities. The analysis comprehensively explores the three primary degrees—Positive, Comparative (Der Komparativ), and Superlative (Der Superlativ)—and meticulously examines how these forms are constructed through inflection (the use of suffixes like -er and -est), suppletion (highly irregular forms lacking a common root), and analytical structures (the use of function words such as more and most). Understanding these degrees is paramount for both descriptive grammar (analyzing a single language) and comparative grammar (analyzing language families), as it highlights the profound diversity and underlying principles in the strategies that world languages employ to express relative magnitude and differentiation.

Keywords: Komparation, Comparative Degree, Superlative Degree, Gradation, Inflection, Syntax, Comparative Grammar, Linguistic Typology.

I. Introduction to Komparation and Its Degrees

Komparation (derived from the Latin comparatio, meaning "comparison"), or the Degrees of Comparison, is a fundamental and universally recognized concept within the study of morphology and syntax.

It represents the crucial grammatical mechanism by which the semantic content of adjectives and adverbs is systematically modified to express a relative scale of intensity, quantity, or relationship they denote. This process is absolutely essential for expressing comparative thoughts, allowing speakers to rank or differentiate one entity or action against another, or against an entire group. While linguistic tradition primarily recognizes three core degrees—the Positive, Comparative, and Superlative—it is worth noting that some languages, particularly within the Germanic family like German, feature additional semantic nuances which sometimes give rise to an expanded conceptualization, such as the *Elativ* (expressing a high degree without explicit comparison, often translated as *very*).

The three standard degrees, which form the foundational and enduring framework of *Komparation* across the vast majority of Indo-European languages and are frequently mirrored in the grammatical systems of languages globally, are defined and elaborated as follows:

The Positive Degree is considered the unmarked base form of the adjective or adverb. It is the form that simply denotes the quality or manner in isolation, without implying any explicit comparative relationship, scale, or difference in intensity. Examples in English include *big*, *fully*, and *interesting*. Linguistically, this degree serves as the lexical root from which the other comparative and superlative forms are built. Crucially, the positive degree is also actively utilized in comparisons of equality or equivalence, where the degree of quality is asserted to be the same between two entities, often requiring a specific syntactic construction such as *as... as* (e.g., *The new server is as fast as the old one*). It establishes the baseline measurement.

The Comparative Degree (*Der Komparativ* in German) is the form reserved for situations where two entities (animate or inanimate) are being explicitly compared, signaling a relationship of greater or lesser degree of the quality or manner expressed. This degree is semantically complex and highly functional, and is often subdivided into three critical categories based on the nature and direction of the comparison: superiority (expressing a greater degree, typically through suffixes or the modifier *more*, e.g., *bigger*, *more fully*); equality (expressing an equal degree, using the positive form with modifiers, e.g., *as big as*, *as fully as*); and inferiority (expressing a lesser degree, consistently achieved using the modifier *less*, e.g., *less big*, *less fully*). The correct application of the comparative degree is essential for establishing clear hierarchical distinctions between two distinct subjects in discourse. .

The Superlative Degree (*Der Superlativ* in German) is the form employed when evaluating a single entity within a group of three or more, and it indicates the highest or lowest extreme degree of the quality or manner relative to all others in that specified set. It marks the entity as occupying the apex or nadir of the scale. Examples in English include *biggest*, *most fully*. Structurally, the superlative often requires the use of the definite article (*the*) to explicitly mark the unique status of the entity being described (e.g., *the biggest challenge*, *the most important factor*).

Its formation—whether through inflection (e.g., -est) or syntactically (e.g., most)—confirms the subject's position as an outlier on the spectrum of the described quality.

II. Morphological and Syntactic Realization

The means by which different languages construct these degrees of comparison provides **rich evidence** for their typological classification, broadly dividing methods into **inflectional** (morphological) or **analytical** (syntactic) categories.

1. Inflectional and Suppletive Komparation

Inflectional Komparation represents the most traditional and morphologically integrated method for expressing degrees of comparison. Languages that rely on this method modify the base word itself, typically by adding a suffix directly to the adjective or adverb stem. This method is the dominant form of gradation in many Germanic languages, including English and German, and is particularly utilized for shorter, higher-frequency adjectives and adverbs, often reflecting the older linguistic heritage of these words. This process highlights the synthetic nature of these languages.

English Inflection: For the vast majority of one-syllable adjectives (e.g., fast, loud) and specific two-syllable adjectives, especially those ending in a vowel sound followed by the letter y (e.g., happy, easy), the inflectional suffixes are highly standardized. The suffix -er is added for the comparative form (old becomes older), and the suffix -est is used for the superlative form (old becomes oldest). These additions are often accompanied by phonological adjustments known as morphophonemic rules to maintain pronounceability or stress patterns. These adjustments include vowel and consonant doubling before the suffix (big becomes bigger, biggest) and the change of y to ier (e.g., happy becomes happier). This system, though rule-based, shows early signs of analytical drift by being restricted primarily to shorter words.

German Inflection: German demonstrates a more consistent and pervasive inflectional pattern compared to English, extending its reach to many longer adjectives where English would use more. It typically applies the singular suffix -er for the Komparativ (klein becomes kleiner), and the sequence am plus the suffix -sten for the Superlativ (am kleinsten). Unlike English, this inflectional rule applies even to relatively long adjectives (e.g., interessant becomes interessanter), minimizing the reliance on analytical forms. A key phonological feature in German inflection is the frequent addition of an Umlaut to the root vowel of many monosyllabic adjectives in both the comparative and superlative forms (warm becomes wärmer, am wärmsten). This vowel mutation is a clear marker of the change in degree and a feature retained from older Germanic phonology.

Suppletion: This phenomenon represents the highest degree of irregularity in Komparation, occurring when the forms of comparison are derived from entirely different lexical roots or stems. These forms are termed suppletive and are relics of older, less regular linguistic stages. They must be learned individually as they follow no predictable morphological rule. The most canonical example in English is the adjective good, which employs the unrelated forms better (comparative) and best (superlative).

German exhibits similar core irregularity, affecting high-frequency words such as *gut* becomes *besser* becomes *am besten* ('good', 'better', 'best'), and *viel* becomes *mehr* becomes *am meisten* ('much', 'more', 'most'). The existence of suppletion, particularly in these core concepts, underscores the fact that the comparison of fundamental concepts often resists later pressures for morphological regularization.

2. Analytical Komparation

Analytical Komparation represents a syntactic process for expressing the degrees of comparison, contrasting sharply with the *inflectional* method. Instead of modifying the base adjective or adverb through suffixes, this method relies on using separate, independent function words (adverbs or pre-modifiers) that are placed before the positive, unmodified form of the word. This mechanism is characteristic of languages that prioritize *periphrasis* (using multiple words) over *morphology* (changing the form of a single word) for grammatical expression, reflecting a move towards an analytic language typology.

English Analytical Forms: This is the obligatory method for the majority of adjectives of two or more syllables in English, effectively serving as the default comparison strategy when inflection is phonologically or historically unfeasible (the *y* ending group being the main exception). The adverb *more* is consistently used to indicate superiority in the comparative degree (*beautiful* becomes *more beautiful*), and the adverb *most* is used for the superlative degree (*beautiful* becomes *most beautiful*). This structure maintains the integrity of the adjective while clearly marking the degree of intensity. Conversely, the adverb *less* is the standardized and consistently used marker to denote a comparison of inferiority across all adjective lengths (*less expensive*, *less complicated*), providing a clear and uniform negative pole for the scale of comparison. This systematic use of pre-modifiers simplifies the grammatical burden on the adjective itself.

Romance Languages: Romance languages such as French and Spanish, which evolved from Latin and possess a more analytic structure than many Germanic languages, primarily utilize analytical methods for their Komparation. In French, for example, the marker *plus* (meaning 'more') is used for the comparative of superiority, while the marker *le plus* (meaning 'the most') is used for the superlative, and these markers invariably precede the adjective or adverb. This clear separation of the comparative marker from the lexical word reflects a strong tendency toward syntactic rather than morphological expression of gradation. Similarly, Spanish employs *más* ('more') and *el más* ('the most') for superior comparison, demonstrating the prevalence of this periphrastic structure across the entire language family. This analytical strategy offers greater regularity and transparency for language learners, as it avoids the complex phonological rules associated with inflectional suffixes.

III. Syntactic and Semantic Contexts

The degrees of comparison are not merely morphological features; they are fully realized and attain their complete meaning only through their interaction with syntactic markers

and contextual rules that properly establish the nature of the relationship within the overarching sentence structure. This interplay between morphology and syntax is fundamental to expressing complex comparative thought.

Markers of Differentiation: When employing the comparative degree, the speaker must clearly identify the standard of comparison—the entity against which the subject is being measured. In English, the conjunction *than* serves as the crucial syntactic element used to introduce the comparative clause or the term being compared (The new engine runs faster than the old one). This is a vital function word that formally links the two elements being differentiated. German similarly relies on the conjunction *als* to fulfill this role (*schneller als der alte Motor*). Notably, some highly inflecting languages, such as Russian, Ancient Greek, and Latin, historically did not require a dedicated conjunction like *than* or *als* to mark this relationship. Instead, they expressed this 'than' relationship by placing the compared noun in the genitive case (ablative in Latin), illustrating how comprehensive case systems can effectively encode comparative meaning without a separate conjunction. This contrast highlights a significant typological difference between analytic and synthetic languages.

Markers of Equality and Similarity: When the intention is to assert equality or similarity rather than differentiation, languages utilize specific phrasal structures to frame the comparison. In English, this is achieved through the symmetrical structure *as... as* (The tower is as high as the neighboring clock tower). This pattern uses the positive, unmodified form of the adjective or adverb sandwiched between the two as modifiers. German uses equivalent constructions such as *so... wie* or the emphatic form *genauso wie* (*genauso hoch wie der Nachbarturm*). These structures confirm that the compared entities occupy the same point on the scale of the quality being described, serving as a distinct grammatical category from superiority or inferiority.

Contextual Nuances and Constraints: The use of *Komparation* is governed by several semantic and traditional grammatical rules. It is important to note the traditional grammatical rule that often mandates the use of the comparative form (the better choice) when only two items are explicitly considered, even if the superlative might otherwise be implied in a larger set. This is a prescriptive rule maintained in formal writing. Furthermore, some adjectives (e.g., *optimal*, *maximal*, *ideal*, *unique*) are considered absolute or uncountable by their very definition, meaning they describe an all-or-nothing quality that cannot logically be graded. Traditionally, these are not subject to gradation (one thing cannot be more unique than another), though in informal speech or commercial rhetoric, analytic forms like *more optimal* or *most perfect* may occasionally appear, reflecting a relaxation of this semantic constraint in modern usage. Additionally, the use of comparison to express a gradual, continuous change over time relies on a specific syntax known as the double comparative (The balloon got bigger and bigger) or the correlative comparative (The faster you run, the sooner you finish), which uses comparison to link two proportional changes.

Conclusion

The detailed exploration of Komparation, or the degrees of comparison, demonstrates its role as a critical and ubiquitous mechanism in both the morphology and syntax of human language. This process is far more than a simple grammatical rule; it is the fundamental linguistic tool that allows speakers to perform semantic scaling—to systematically grade, differentiate, and rank qualities and manners across a spectrum of intensity. We have observed that while the tripartite system of the Positive, Comparative, and Superlative degrees forms a universal conceptual framework, its practical realization varies widely, revealing key typological differences between language families. The contrast between the inflectional strategies of languages like English and German—relying on suffixes like *-er* and *-est* and the complex retention of suppletive forms (good, better, best)—and the analytical strategies of languages that employ periphrastic markers like *more* and *most*, showcases the inherent trade-off between morphological economy and syntactic regularity. Furthermore, the dependency of comparison on syntactic markers such as *than* or case systems (e.g., the genitive case in Russian) underscores that the final expression of relative magnitude is a holistic interaction between the word, its modifiers, and the sentence structure. Ultimately, the study of Komparation offers a profound and concrete insight into how languages organize perception, enabling the speaker to move beyond simple description to articulate the complexity and nuance of relative judgment in a structured and universally understandable way.

References

1. Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. Longman, 1985.
2. Wells, B. *German: An Essential Grammar*. Routledge, 2012.
3. Haspelmath, M. *Understanding Morphology*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
4. Adjective and Adverb Degrees of Comparison. Wikipedia, 2024.
5. Comparative and Superlative Adjectives. British Council Learn English, 2024.
6. Lehmann, C. *Language Description and General Comparative Grammar*. ResearchGate, 2020.
7. IELTS Grammar 101: Comparative Language Explained. IDP IELTS, 2024.